

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9

10 DAVID FUENTES, SR.,

1:23-cv-00295-SAB (PC)

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 MULE CREEK STATE PRISON, et al.,

ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE
SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

14 Defendants.

15
16 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42
17 U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.

18 In his complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. The alleged
19 violations took place in Amador County, which is part of the Sacramento Division of the United
20 States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the complaint should have
21 been filed in the Sacramento Division.

22 Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper
23 court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action
24 will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This court will not rule on plaintiff's request to
25 proceed in forma pauperis.

26 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

27 1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of
28 California sitting in Sacramento; and

1 2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be
2 filed at:

3 United States District Court
4 Eastern District of California
5 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200
6 Sacramento, CA 95814

7 3. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 Dated: March 1, 2023



UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE